

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
JORDAN DUNCAN,
Defendant.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Tarlton Polk, PLLC
By: Raymond C. Tarlton, Esq.
209 Fayetteville Street
Suite 105
Raleigh, NC 27601

Audio Operator: CLERK'S OFFICE

eScribers, LLC
7227 N. 16th Street
Suite 207
Phoenix, AZ 85020
973-406-2250
www.escribers.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

	PAGE	LINE
RULINGS:		
Government motion for detention is granted.	4	8
Government motion for exhibits to remain under seal until 4 o'clock 12/11/20 is granted.	13	6

Colloquy

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE CLERK: This court is now in session. The
3 Honorable Judge James E. Gates is presiding. Be seated and
4 come to order.

5 THE COURT: Good morning, folks.

6 MR. TARLTON: Good morning, Your Honor.

7 MS. KOCHER: Good morning, sir.

8 THE COURT: Before we turn to the Duncan matter, let
9 me refer to the case of United States v. Eric Alexander Glenn
10 (ph.).

11 (Discussions off the record)

12 THE COURT: Very well, that takes us then to the
13 Duncan matter. This is the case of United States v. Jordan
14 Duncan. We had a -- it's really a continuation of the
15 detention hearing in that proceeding. The evidence and
16 argument was presented yesterday, and the purpose of the
17 proceeding today is for the Court to announce its ruling with
18 respect to the Government's motion for detention.

19 Let me note that I have reviewed carefully the
20 evidence and argument that's been presented, and that did
21 include review of the case law that defense counsel cited in
22 his argument, the Brandenburg case, the Hess case, the NAACP
23 v. Claiborne Hardware case, as well as the U.S. v. Rundo case
24 out of the Central District of California and the specific
25 order that I believe counsel had reference to, it's not

Colloquy

1 published to my knowledge. He gave a Pacer cite, which was
2 very helpful. It was accurate. It's at docket entry 145.
3 There's a related order at docket 151, but the order that I
4 believe Mr. Tarlton was referring to is at docket entry 145.
5 And I did review all of that material.

6 Very good. Based on the record developed before me,
7 I believe the law requires me to allow the Government's motion
8 for detention. I do so, finding that the Government has shown
9 by clear and convincing evidence that there's no condition or
10 combination of conditions that would reasonably assure the
11 safety of any other person in the community, and that by a
12 preponderance of the evidence there's no condition of
13 combination of conditions that would reasonably assure the
14 appearance of the defendant as required.

15 Mr. Duncan, sir, my ruling does not affect the
16 presumption of innocence which you will continue to enjoy at
17 the trial in your case.

18 On a motion such as this, the Court is required to
19 consider certain specific factors, and the Court has
20 considered the factors the law requires it to consider. One
21 is the weight of the evidence, and I believe that the
22 Government does have a strong case against you. And I say
23 that recognizing that the Government presented a lot of
24 evidence relating to other defendants in this case, that while
25 you -- the evidence depicted you as having a role in this

Colloquy

1 alleged conspiracy, I wouldn't say the evidence shows you were
2 the leader of the conspiracy by any means. And again, much of
3 the evidence related to other defendants.

4 I'm also very sensitive to the First Amendment
5 concerns that are the subject of the case law that I
6 referenced, and that your very able counsel laid out in his
7 argument. And notwithstanding that, and of course, I'm not
8 here on a motion to dismiss the indictment or anything.
9 That's further down the road, which was an issue in some of
10 the case law that was presented.

11 I do think the Government has presented enough
12 evidence to obtain a conviction. And you did have a role, and
13 a specific role that was pointed to in the evidence was
14 vetting new members to the conspiracy. There was evidence of
15 that pallet, which was intercepted, I realize, but a pallet of
16 equipment that was headed theoretically or seemed to be -- the
17 evidence was it was headed your way, but it was intercepted.
18 And there was other evidence tying you in specifically with
19 this illicit manufacture of firearms. And so I think the
20 Government does have enough evidence to obtain a conviction.

21 Regarding the nature and circumstances of the offense
22 involved here, I've touched on some of this, but I think the
23 evidence showed, even though while you were not the leader of
24 this conspiracy, you did have significant involvement in it.
25 You were not a simple bystander. There was meaningful

Colloquy

1 involvement by you in it.

2 And without looking at the -- really the underlying
3 beliefs that motivate or the foundation of the ideology that
4 is part of this -- that relates to the conspiracy, one can't
5 help but note it was -- it's a -- there's a violence to it,
6 very anti-government, anti the federal government specifically
7 to it. Irrespective of the bases for that view there was
8 training that was intended to seemingly prepare readiness to
9 actually engage in violent conduct. There was a plan to -- a
10 strategy, an overall strategy to do that.

11 There were materials that you had. It was described
12 as, I believe, a library on destructive devices, including
13 information on chemical weapons, military manuals that the
14 testimony was that you probably should not have had, certainly
15 should not be using, in connection with the conspiracy.

16 I note too that just as a -- and a lot of these
17 considerations obviously relate directly to the risk of danger
18 that's presented here. And I would include in that
19 information in the chats that was presented where there was a
20 seeming endorsement by you of violent conduct.

21 And then I do note I don't want to -- I don't want to
22 overstate the significance of this, but you did move from San
23 Antonio to Boise, which became -- Mr. Kryscuk was already
24 there, I believe. This activity really obtained a focus in
25 Boise, and you moved from San Antonio to Boise. I know you

Colloquy

1 had work in Boise, but you did make that move. That's a
2 significant move, obviously.

3 In addition, your roommate was another person
4 involved in this, another defendant, a codefendant and a co --
5 alleged coconspirator. And I just say those things to -- I
6 think that is some evidence of the depth of your involvement
7 in this activity.

8 Regarding -- well, and I guess in connection with
9 this -- this bleeds really into your history and
10 characteristics. But I note that at the time of your arrest,
11 I believe, it was found that you had a false ID, you had a DOD
12 passport that, according to testimony here, you really should
13 not have had, and that -- and obviously those matters relate
14 specifically to flight risk.

15 Also bearing on flight risk is your background in
16 intelligence and communications, because it would seem that
17 that capability could be used to facilitate flight. You also
18 are out of the Marine Corps, and I think some of those skills
19 could obviously be used to facilitate flight. They also
20 present a risk of danger to the extent that you elected to
21 engage in violent conduct.

22 There was also testimony to the effect that you
23 likely had not been candid in forms that were completed
24 relating to your security clearance, that had you been candid
25 in those forms, you would -- your security clearance would

Colloquy

1 have been removed or canceled, whatever the proper term would
2 be. And that's also of concern, lying to the government, to
3 authorities could also be used to facilitate flight and
4 represents also a potential danger.

5 Regarding the nature and seriousness of the danger
6 posed by your release, there's an obvious danger to some
7 degree of participation in violent anti-government conduct.

8 Regarding the suitability of your father -- of that
9 custodial arrangement with your father, your father's
10 obviously a suitable custodian. I think there's several
11 things that contribute to this not being an adequate
12 arrangement. I mean, most fundamentally, I think the risk of
13 flight and danger here is too great to make that a reasonable
14 alternative.

15 But I do make note as well that according to the
16 record before me you had effectively hid this side of your
17 life, your involvement -- seemingly deep involvement in this
18 conspiracy, your seemingly deep commitment to this anti-
19 government view. And being anti-government is not a problem.
20 But in assessing danger, the commitment to participate in
21 violence is relevant, it seems to me, with respect to the risk
22 of danger. And there seems -- this record suggests there's a
23 real commitment on your part to that.

24 In any event, you effectively hid this side of your
25 life, your involvement in these views that you seemingly have

Colloquy

1 and participation in related activities. Hid that from your
2 father, I assume your mother as well, because he said he was
3 unaware of it and was learning a lot of it for the first time
4 in the courtroom. Also it's not ideal that the proposed
5 custodial home would be a long distance from our court here,
6 because obviously travel of that length would present
7 opportunities to flee.

8 And of course, a factor if I haven't made it clear
9 with respect to flight is that the strong -- the seemingly
10 strong anti-governmental -- anti-federal-government views
11 would seemingly give somebody an incentive to avoid being
12 subject to jurisdiction by that government as to which the
13 person has a strong antipathy, and for whatever reason. The
14 Court isn't looking at the basis for that antipathy, it's the
15 fact of it that is of concern. And the flight -- I do believe
16 that raises the risk of flight to avoid being subject to the
17 jurisdiction of that system that the person is so strongly
18 opposed to.

19 I've considered the mitigating factors cited by your
20 counsel, the fact that a job would be available to you. I
21 think a legitimate concern raised by the Government was
22 internet access. The argument was made without contradiction
23 that that job would involve access to the internet, and the
24 Government expressed concern about that. And I would share
25 that concern in light of your capabilities with respect to

Colloquy

1 internet activity gained through your specialization in the
2 military in communications and intelligence. And that could
3 be exploited to facilitate flight and perhaps participate in
4 violent activity.

5 And with respect to flight, let me just note this
6 additional thought that there seemingly, based on this
7 record -- there are others out there, notwithstanding that I
8 realize this -- indictments against other folks, but certainly
9 the -- I think implicit at least in the testimony was that
10 there are others who share this view. And that provides a
11 potential community of folks, perhaps nationwide, that could
12 be turned to, to facilitate flight. And that is of concern to
13 the Court.

14 I make note of the argument by your counsel that the
15 maximum punishment statutorily is five years, and of course,
16 with time off for good behavior it would be somewhat less than
17 that. I've considered that. I still think that's -- to be
18 imprisoned for up to -- even just short of five years, over
19 four years is still a long time, even for a younger person.
20 And I think that would provide some incentive to flee.
21 Certainly we see longer potential sentences and certainly
22 mandatory minimums that are longer in cases. But still, it's
23 not a matter of months, of less than a year. It's not a
24 misdemeanor. It's a felony, so it's potentially a matter of
25 years.

Colloquy

1 As I noted at the outset, I have considered your
2 First Amendment rights throughout my analysis. The case law I
3 would add, is the point made by the Government. They don't
4 deal with detention. Those cases don't deal with detention
5 hearings, and the assessment of flight risk and danger. But I
6 have considered your First Amendment rights throughout,
7 including but not limited to in connection with the weight of
8 the evidence against you.

9 I'm aware that the probation office recommended
10 release, and I did consider that. The probation office does
11 not have the benefit of the full exposition of the evidence
12 that a hearing provides. That's one reason why we hold
13 hearings, but I did certainly consider its recommendation.

14 I do want to commend counsel for their excellent
15 presentations with respect to this case.

16 Mr. Tarlton, anything further on behalf of Mr. Duncan
17 for today?

18 MR. TARLTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing further
19 at this point in time, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.

21 Ms. Kocher, ma'am, anything further for the
22 Government at this time?

23 MS. KOCHER: Your Honor, I do have two -- one request
24 and one notice to the Court. The disk that was submitted as
25 Exhibit 7, excuse me, Your Honor.

Colloquy

1 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

2 MS. KOCHER: Was password protected, and I failed to
3 think about that yesterday. I have provided the password to
4 the Court this morning.

5 THE COURT: Oh thank you.

6 MS. KOCHER: But I will also, and in conjunction with
7 defense counsel, we'll provide an open disk for the record.

8 THE COURT: Very well.

9 MS. KOCHER: At a later time, and I'll exchange that.
10 My request is if the Court would allow the exhibits submitted
11 yesterday to remain sealed through the end of the day
12 tomorrow, perhaps, to let me make certain that they are in
13 full compliance with the rule and local rule in regard to
14 personally identifying information therein and redact anything
15 necessary. And again, I have spoken with counsel about that
16 and would submit first to them the proposed redactions, and
17 they would only be related to the types of subjects that,
18 before they become public, the Court would want --

19 THE COURT: Okay. Is it satisfactory, Ms. Kocher,
20 for me to direct that they'll remain under seal until tomorrow
21 at 5? Well, let's not say 5. I don't to keep people here
22 late that they wouldn't otherwise have to. Let's say 4
23 o'clock, and then at that point, if the Government does not
24 file a motion seeking permanent sealing and redacted copies,
25 then they will be unsealed without further order of the Court.

Colloquy

1 MS. KOCHER: And I would file a notice either way,
2 Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay, that would be great.

4 MS. KOCHER: To not keep -- yes.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I so direct.

6 MS. KOCHER: Thank you, sir.

7 THE COURT: And Mr. Tarlton, counsel indicated you
8 had no objection to that? Let me just clarify.

9 MR. TARLTON: No objection, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay, very good. Thank you, sir.

11 Very good. Well, at this time, I remand Mr. Duncan
12 to the custody of the United States Marshal pending further
13 proceedings in this case.

14 (Court is adjourned)

15 * * * * *

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

4 I, Tamara Bentzur, court-approved transcriber, in and
5 for the United States District Court for the Eastern District
6 of North Carolina, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section
7 753, Title 28, United States Code, that the foregoing is a
8 true and correct transcript from the official electronic sound
9 recording of the proceedings held in the above-entitled matter
10 and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the
11 regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

12 Dated this 12th day of January, 2021.

13
14 /s/ 

15
16 _____
TAMARA BENTZUR, CET-824

17 COURT-APPROVED TRANSCRIBER
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25